	Case 5:08-cv-01554-JF	Document 45	Filed 08/29/2008	Page 1 of 18					
		101170							
1	STUART C. CLARK (SBN clark@carrferrell.com	,							
2	CHRISTINE S. WATSON (cwatson@carrferrell.com	(SBN 218006)							
3	CARR & FERRELL <i>LLP</i> 2200 Geng Road								
4	Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400								
5	Facsimile: (650) 812-3444								
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff PATRICK PIERCE								
7									
8			ES DISTRICT COUR'						
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION								
11	PATRICK PIERCE,		CASE NO. C08	3-01554 JF (HRL)					
12	Plaintiff,								
13	v.		FOR BREACI	DED COMPLAINT HOF CONTRACT,					
14	WELLS FARGO BANK, N	.A.	FRAUD, FRA						
15	and DOES 1 through 20,		PROMISE, AN MISREPRESI	ND NEGLIGENT ENTATION					
16	Defendan	its.							
17									
18			<u>DDUCTION</u>						
19		`	, 1	ee of defendant Wells Fargo					
20	Bank, N.A. ("WFB"), and he was also previously employed by non-party Greater Bay Bancorp								
21	("GBB"). During 2007 WF.	1							
22	WFB made promises and representations to Pierce that if he continued to work for GBB through								
23	the acquisition, after the effective date of the acquisition WFB would not force him to accept a								
24	position at WFB, but would permit him to instead elect to receive severance benefits under a								
25	Change in Control Pay Plan that had been established by GBB. However after Pierce had worked								
26	for GBB through the date of the acquisition, and when he sought to elect benefits under the Change								
27	in Control Pay Plan pursuan	t to WFB's and GF	BB's promises and rep	resentations, WFB refused to					
28	cause those benefits to be m	ade available to hir	m. WFB has persisted	in its refusal to cause those					

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

severance benefits to be made available to Pierce, and the plan administrator has refused to pay benefits under the Change in Control Pay Plan. Pierce accordingly seeks by this action to recover the damages that he has sustained as the result of WFB's and GBB's: (1) failures and/or refusals to fulfill their contractual obligation to cause the Change in Control Pay Plan to provide the promised and represented severance benefits; (2) failures and/or refusals to implement their promises and representations to provide such benefits, under principles of promissory estoppel; (3) false representations that they would be entitled to the promised and represented benefits under the Change in Control Pay Plan; and (4) false promises and representations, without any intention of performing such promises and representations, that they would cause the Change in Control Pay Plan to provide such benefits. Pierce also seeks payment of costs, and such other relief as may be appropriate.

JURISDICTION

2. Pierce contends that this court lacks jurisdiction on either federal question or diversity grounds, and that the action should be remanded to state court. WFB contends, however, in its Notice of Removal of this action from state court that this court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 1144(a).

VENUE

3. Because Pierce contends that this court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, he further contends that venue is improper in this court. If the court possesses subject matter jurisdiction, however, venue would be proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1391(a), in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this district, namely WFB's and GBB's promises and representations, and WFB's failure to perform in accordance with such promises and representations.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

4. Because Pierce contends that this court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, he further contends that venue is improper in any court in this district. If the court possesses subject matter jurisdiction, however, this action would be required to be assigned to the San Jose Division of this District under Civil Local Rule 3-2(c) and (d), by virtue of the facts alleged in paragraph 3, above.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Patrick Pierce is an individual who works in Palo Alto, in the County of Santa Clara.
- 6. Defendant Wells Fargo Bank N.A. is a national banking association with its principal place of business in California, and which carries on business in, among other places, the County of Santa Clara.
- 7. Pierce is ignorant of the true names or capacities of the individual and business entity defendants sued herein under the fictitious names Doe 1 through 10 inclusive. Pierce will amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the Doe defendants when ascertained. Each of such defendants is in some way responsible for the wrongful acts complained of below.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. During or about May, 2007 WFB and GBB entered into an agreement under which WFB agreed to acquire GBB in a stock-for-stock merger. That acquisition was implemented on its effective date of October 1, 2007.
- 9. At the time that the merger of WFB and GBB was implemented there was in existence a Change in Control Pay Plan (the "CIC Plan") at GBB which provided severance benefits for eligible employees of GBB whose employment terminated in connection with the merger, or who were constructively terminated by not being offered comparable positions with WFB. In the case of a GBB employee at the level of Pierce those promised and represented severance benefits (the "Severance Benefits") potentially included but were not limited to an amount equivalent to twelve months pay at the rate at which he was being compensated at the time of ceasing work, plus a bonus and other benefits.
- 10. At various times, including but not limited to June 22, 2007 and September 10, 2007, authorized agents of GBB and WFB promised and represented to Pierce that if he continued to work for GBB through the merger with WFB then Pierce:
 - (a) would not be forced to take a position with WFB after the merger that he did not want; and,

1

6

13

11

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

27

25

- (b) would be entitled to receive Severance Benefits if he elected not to take a full-time position with WFB.
- 11. The promises and representations referred to in the preceding paragraph were made to Pierce by, among others, Ken Shannon, Executive Vice President of GBB, and Jeanette Mebane, Vice President of WFB. Ken Shannon was expressly authorized by Don Fracchia, Executive Vice President of WFB, to make such promises and representations on behalf of WFB.
- 12. Acting in reliance on the promises and representations referred to above, Pierce continued to work for GBB until the effective date of the merger, and did not pursue other employment opportunities, in the expectation that if he chose not to accept a full-time position with WFB after the merger he would receive compensation equivalent to the Severance Benefits.
- 13. WFB and GBB caused the CIC Plan to be amended as of September 27, 2008. Despite the fact that this amendment gave WFB and GBB an ideal opportunity to include among such amendments a provision for payment of the benefits that both WFB and GBB had promised and represented would be available to Pierce if he elected not to take a full-time position with WFB, WFB and GBB failed to include the promised and represented benefits among the amendments to the plan.
- 14. WFB and GBB did not inform Pierce that the amendments that were made to the plan as of September 27, 2008 did not include amendments giving effect to the promised and represented benefits, with the result that Pierce continued to work for GBB through the date of the merger in continuing reliance on the promise and representation that he would receive such benefits if he elected not to accept a full-time position with WFB after the merger. WFB did not disclose to Pierce that the amendments were inconsistent with GBB's and WFB's promises and representations, nor even that the plan had been amended, until June 10, 2008, when WFB included a copy of the amended CIC Plan in a filing (Document 26) in opposition to Pierce's motion to remand this action to state court.
- 15. By letter dated November 1, 2007 WFB informed Pierce that the position that WFB had "identified" for him post-merger was that of Loan Adjustment Manager 3 at WFB's Cowper Street Branch in Palo Alto. The letter further stated that this would be a transition position through

2

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- By letter dated November 8, 2007 WFB asserted that offering Pierce the transition position referred to in the preceding paragraph excused WFB from any obligation to pay the Severance Benefits to Pierce.
- 17. By letters dated November 30, 2007 and December 19, 2007, counsel for Pierce rejected WFB's position and reiterated Pierce's entitlement to receive the Severance Benefits. In the December 19, 2007 letter counsel for Pierce stated, among other things that:

Although this has not been formally communicated, it appears that WFB may be taking the position that Mr. Pierce is not technically entitled to receive a Change in Control Package. Whether or not that is the case is not a matter that we need to address at this time, however, because WFB and GBB made representations and promises that Mr. Pierce would be entitled to receive a package, and he detrimentally relied on those representations and promises to change his position to his prejudice. Under those circumstances, WFB is liable for any damages that Mr. Pierce may suffer, namely a loss of benefits equivalent to those that accrue to a person entitled to benefits under the Change in Control Package.

- 18. By letter dated January 10, 2008 WFB denied that its' and GBB's representatives had made the promises and representations relied on by Pierce, and alleged in the alternative that if such promises and representations had been made by Ken Shannon then he did not have the authority to make those promises and representations. WFB further denied that Pierce was entitled to receive Severance Benefits either based on the promises and representations relied on by Pierce, or under the CIC Plan.
- 19. On February 4, 2008 Pierce filed this action (the "Action") in the Santa Clara County Superior Court, asserting the following claims against WFB:
 - (a) a claim for breach by GBB of the contract formed by Pierce's acceptance of GBB's offer constituted by its promise and representation referred to in paragraph 10, above;
 - (b) a claim for breach by WFB of the contract formed by Pierce's acceptance of WFB's offer constituted by its promise and representation referred to in paragraph 10, above;
 - (c) a claim for promissory estoppel based on Pierce's acceptance of

1

4 5

22. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.

6 7

8

10

12 13

11

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

23. The promises and representations referred to in paragraph 10, above, constituted offers by GBB to cause the CIC Plan to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce in the event that he elected not to remain in the full-time employment of WFB after the merger, notwithstanding that Pierce might have been offered the opportunity to continue working for WFB in a position comparable to that which he held at GBB.

- 24. Pierce accepted the offers referred to in the preceding paragraph, both expressly and by his conduct in continuing to work at GBB through the effective date of the merger, thereby giving rise to an express contract between Pierce and GBB.
- 25. It was an express, alternatively implied, term of the contract referred to in the preceding paragraph that GBB would cause the CIC Plan to be amended, if and to the extent necessary, to provide the benefits promised and represented by GBB.
- 26. GBB breached the contract formed by the offer and acceptance referred to in paragraphs 23 and 24, above, by refusing and/or failing to cause the CIC Plan to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce after the effective date of the merger, on his election not to remain in the fulltime employment of WFB.
- 27. As a result of GBB's breach referred to in the preceding paragraph, Pierce has been damaged in an amount equivalent to the benefits that he would have received had GBB fulfilled its promises and representations, in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$250,000.
- 28. As the successor entity following the merger between GBB and WFB, WFB is responsible for the payment of all liabilities of GBB, under Corporations Code section 1107. Consequently, WFB is liable for the damages sustained by Pierce as a consequence of GBB's breach.

WHEREFORE, Pierce prays for judgment as set out in the Prayer, below.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract - WFB)

- 29. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.
- 30. The promises and representations referred to in paragraph 10, above, which were also made by and/or on behalf of WFB, constituted offers by WFB to cause the CIC Plan to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce in the event that he elected not to remain in the full-time employment of WFB after the merger, notwithstanding that Pierce might have been offered the opportunity to continue working for WFB in a position comparable to that which he held at GBB.
- 31. Pierce accepted the offers referred to in the preceding paragraph, both expressly and by his conduct in continuing to work at GBB through the effective date of the merger, thereby giving rise to an express contract between Pierce and WFB.
- 32. It was an express, alternatively implied, term of the contract referred to in the preceding paragraph that WFB would cause the CIC Plan to be amended, if and to the extent necessary, to provide the benefits promised and represented by WFB
- 33. WFB breached the contract formed by the offer and acceptance referred to in paragraphs 30 and 31, above, by refusing and/or failing to cause the CIC Plan to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce after the effective date of the merger, on his election not to remain in the full-time employment of WFB.
- 34. As a result of WFB's breach referred to in the preceding paragraph, Pierce has been damaged in an amount equivalent to the benefits that he would have received had WFB fulfilled its promises and representations, in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$250,000.

WHEREFORE, Pierce prays for judgment as set out in the Prayer, below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Promissory Estoppel - GBB)

- 35. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.
- 36. The promises and representations referred to in paragraph 10, above, constituted promises by GBB to cause the CIC Plan to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce in the event that he elected not to remain in the full-time employment of WFB after the merger, and notwithstanding

{00333138v1}

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

{00333138v1}

hat	Pierce	might	have	been	offered	the	opport	tunity	to c	continue	wor	Kıng	tor	WFB	ın a	pos	ıtıon
com	parabl	e to tha	t whic	ch he	held at	GB	B.										

- 37. Pierce relied on the promises and representations referred to in the preceding paragraph, both expressly and by his conduct, including but not limited to by continuing to work at GBB through the effective date of the merger, and in not seeking alternative employment.
- 38. GBB failed and/or refused to perform in accordance with its promises and representations referred to in paragraph 36, above, by refusing and/or failing to cause the CIC Plan to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce after the effective date of the merger.
- As a result of GBB's failure and/or refusal to perform referred to in the preceding paragraph, Pierce has been damaged in an amount equivalent to the benefits that he would have received had GBB fulfilled its promises and representations, in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$250,000.
- 40. Equity requires that GBB should be held liable for the damages sustained by Pierce, in that the injustice to Pierce resulting from GBB's non-performance of its promises and representations can be avoided only by the enforcement of those promises and representations.
- 41. As the successor entity following the merger between GBB and WFB, WFB is responsible for the payment of all liabilities of GBB, under Corporations Code section 1107. Consequently, WFB is liable for the damages sustained by Pierce as a consequence of GBB's failure and/or refusal to perform.

WHEREFORE, Pierce prays for judgment as set out in the Prayer, below.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Promissory Estoppel - WFB)

- 42. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.
- 43. The promises and representations referred to in paragraph 10, above, constituted promises by WFB to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce in the event that he elected not to remain in the full-time employment of WFB after the merger, and notwithstanding that Pierce might have been offered the opportunity to continue working for WFB in a position comparable to that which he held at GBB.

7

11

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

- 44. Pierce relied on the promises and representations referred to in the preceding paragraph, both expressly and by his conduct, including but not limited to by continuing to work at GBB through the effective date of the merger, and in not seeking alternative employment.
- 45. WFB failed and/or refused to perform in accordance with its promises and representations referred to in paragraph 43, above, by refusing and/or failing to cause the CIC Plan to pay Severance Benefits to Pierce after the effective date of the merger.
- 46. As a result of WFB's failure and/or refusal to perform referred to in the preceding paragraph, Pierce has been damaged in an amount equivalent to the benefits that he would have received had WFB fulfilled its promises and representations, in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$250,000.
- 47. Equity requires that WFB should be held liable for the damages sustained by Pierce, in that the injustice to Pierce resulting from WFB's non-performance of its promises and representations can be avoided only by the enforcement of those promises and representations.

WHEREFORE, Pierce prays for judgment as set out in the Prayer, below.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud – Intentional Misrepresentation – GBB)

- 48. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.
- By making the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in 49. paragraph 10, above, the authorized agents of GBB referred to in paragraph 11, above, represented to Pierce that important facts were true, namely that if he continued to work for GBB through the merger with WFB then he would not be forced to take a position with WFB after the merger that he did not want and would be entitled to receive Severance Benefits if he elected not to take a full time position with WFB.
- 50. The above promises and representations were made by GBB with the intent to induce Pierce to continue working for GBB until after the merger with WFB.
- 51. Based on WFB's conduct subsequent to the effective date of the merger in denying that GBB promised and represented that he:
 - (a) would not be forced to take a position with WFB after the merger that he did not

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(b) would be entitled to receive benefits under the CIC Plan if he elected not to take new full-time positions with WFB;

Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were false.

- 52. Based on WFB's conduct subsequent to the effective date of the merger in denying that GBB made the promises and representations referred to in the preceding paragraph, Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were made knowing of their falsity or recklessly and without regard for the truth thereof.
- 53. The authorized agents of GBB referred to in paragraph 11, above, made the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in paragraph 10, above, with the intention that Pierce should rely on the truth of such promises and representations.
- 54. Pierce reasonably relied on the said promises and representations, among other things, by continuing to work for GBB until the effective date of the merger, and not pursuing other employment opportunities, in the expectation that if he chose not to work full-time with WFB after the merger he would receive the Severance Benefits under the CIC Plan.
- 55. As a result of Pierce's reliance on GBB's promises and representations, he has been damaged in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$100,000.
- 56. As the successor entity following the merger between GBB and WFB, WFB is responsible for the payment of all liabilities of GBB, under Corporations Code section 1107.

 Consequently, WFB is liable for the damages sustained by Pierce as a consequence of GBB's fraud.

 WHEREFORE, Pierce prays for judgment as set out in the Prayer, below.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud – Intentional Misrepresentation - WFB)

- 57. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.
- 58. By making the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in paragraph 10, above, the authorized agents of WFB referred to in paragraph 11, above, represented to Pierce that important facts were true, namely that if he continued to work for GBB through the merger with WFB then he would not be forced to take a position with WFB after the merger that he

did not want and would be entitled to receive Severance Benefits if he elected not to take a full time position with WFB.

- 59. The above promises and representations were made by WFB with the intent to induce Pierce to continue working for GBB until after the merger with WFB.
- 60. Based on WFB's conduct subsequent to the effective date of the merger in denying that it promised and represented that he:
 - (a) would not be forced to take a position with WFB after the merger that he did not want; and,
 - (b) would be entitled to elect benefits under the CIC Plan if he elected not to take a full-time position with WFB;

Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were false.

- 61. Based on WFB's conduct subsequent to the effective date of the merger in denying that it made the promises and representations referred to in the preceding paragraph, Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were made knowing of their falsity or recklessly and without regard for the truth thereof.
- 62. The authorized agents of WFB referred to in paragraph 11, above, made the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in paragraph 10, above, with the intention that Pierce should rely on the truth of such promises and representations.
- 63. Pierce reasonably relied on the said promises and representations, among other things, by continuing to work for GBB until the effective date of the merger, and not pursuing other employment opportunities, in the expectation that if he chose not to work full-time with WFB he would receive the Severance Benefits under the CIC Plan.
- 64. As a result of Pierce's reliance on WFB's promises and representations, he has been damaged in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$100,000.

WHEREFORE, Pierce prays for judgment as set out in the Prayer, below.

26

22

23

24

25

27

28

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

2627

{00333138v1}

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud – Promise Without Intention To Perform – GBB)

- 65. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.
- 66. By making the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in paragraph 10, above, the authorized agents of GBB referred to in paragraph 11, above, represented to Pierce that important facts were true, namely that if he continued to work for GBB through the merger with WFB then Pierce would not be forced to take a position with WFB after the merger that he did not want and would be entitled to receive Severance Benefits if he elected not to take a full time position with WFB.
- 67. Based on GBB's conduct subsequent to making the promise and representations referred to above, including but not limited to GBB's refusal and/or failure to amend the CIC Plan to conform to GBB's promises and representations, Pierce alleges on information and belief that at the time that GBB made those promises and representations it had no intention of performing as promised and represented.
- 68. Based on WFB's conduct described above, Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were made knowing of their falsity or recklessly and without regard for the truth thereof.
- 69. The authorized agents of GBB referred to in paragraph 11, above, made the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in paragraph 10, above, with the intention that Pierce should rely on the truth of such promises and representations.
- 70. Pierce reasonably relied on the said promises and representations, among other things, by continuing to work for GBB until the effective date of the merger, and not pursuing other employment opportunities, in the expectation that if he chose not to work full-time with WFB after the merger he would receive the Severance Benefits under the CIC Plan.
- 71. As a result of Pierce's reliance on GBB's promises and representations, he has been damaged in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$100,000.
- 72. As the successor entity following the merger between GBB and WFB, WFB is responsible for the payment of all liabilities of GBB, under Corporations Code section 1107.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

4 5 (Fraud – Promise Without Intention To Perform – WFB)

6

73. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, above.

7

8

to Pierce that important facts were true, namely that if he continued to work for GBB through the

merger with WFB then Pierce would not be forced to take a position with WFB after the merger

10

that he did not want and would be entitled to receive Severance Benefits if he elected not to take a

11

full time position with WFB.

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

74. By making the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in paragraph 10, above, the authorized agents of WFB referred to in paragraph 11, above, represented

- 75. Based on WFB's conduct subsequent to making the promise and representations referred to above, including but not limited to WFB's refusal and/or failure to amend the CIC Plan to conform to WFB's promises and representations, Pierce alleges on information and belief that at the time that WFB made those promises and representations it had no intention of performing as promised and represented.
- 76. Based on WFB's conduct described above, Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were made knowing of their falsity or recklessly and without regard for the truth thereof.
- 77. The authorized agents of WFB referred to in paragraph 11, above, made the promises and representations to Pierce that are referred to in paragraph 10, above, with the intention that Pierce should rely on the truth of such promises and representations.
- 78. Pierce reasonably relied on the said promises and representations, among other things, by continuing to work for GBB until the effective date of the merger, and not pursuing other employment opportunities, in the expectation that if he chose not to work full-time with WFB after the merger he would receive the Severance Benefits under the CIC Plan.
- 79. As a result of Pierce's reliance on WFB's promises and representations, he has been damaged in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$100,000.

3

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Misrepresentation - GBB)

- 80. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, 49 through 51, and 53, above.
- 81. Based on WFB's conduct subsequent to the effective date of the merger in denying that it and/or GBB made the promises and representations referred to in paragraph 10, above, Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were made without reasonable grounds for believing that the promises and representations were true when made.
- 82. Pierce reasonably relied on the said promises and representations, among other things, by continuing to work for GBB until the effective date of the merger, and not pursuing other employment opportunities, in the expectation that if he chose not to work full-time with WFB he would receive the Severance Benefits under the CIC Plan.
- 83. As a result of Pierce's reliance on GBB's promises and representations, he has been damaged in an amount according to proof, but which is not less than \$100,000.
- 84. As the successor entity following the merger between GBB and WFB, WFB is responsible for the payment of all liabilities of GBB under Corporations Code section 1107.

 Consequently, WFB is liable for the damages sustained by Pierce as a consequence of GBB's fraud.

WHEREFORE, Pierce prays for judgment as set out in the Prayer, below.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Misrepresentation - WFB)

- 85. Pierce realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 21, 58 through 60, and 62, above.
- 86. Based on WFB's conduct subsequent to the effective date of the merger in denying that it and/or GBB made the promises and representations referred to in paragraph 10, above, Pierce alleges on information and belief that the said promises and representations were made without reasonable grounds for believing that the promises and representations were true when made.
- 87. Pierce reasonably relied on the said promises and representations, by, among other things, continuing to work for GBB until the effective date of the merger, and not pursuing other

27

10.

according to proof in an amount not less than \$100,000;

according to proof in an amount not less than \$100,000;

On the Tenth Cause of Action, for negligent misrepresentation, for damages

	Case 5:08-cv	7-01554-JF Document 45 Filed 08/29/2008 Page 17 of 18
1	11.	For interest at the rate of 10% per annum;
2	12.	For costs of suit; and
3	13.	For such further or alternative relief as may be appropriate.
4		
5	Dated: Augu	st 29, 2008 CARR & FERRELL LLP
6		CAND COLOR
7		By: STUART C. CLARK
8		CHRISTINE S. WATSON
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff PATRICK PIERCE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

{00333138v1}

{00333138v1}